

Message

**From:** Beck, Nancy [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=168ECB5184AC44DE95A913297F353745-BECK, NANCY]  
**Sent:** 9/22/2017 3:17:17 PM  
**To:** Smith, Peterj [Smith.Peterj@epa.gov]; Wise, Louise [Wise.Louise@epa.gov]; Morris, Jeff [Morris.Jeff@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Hofmann, Angela [Hofmann.Angela@epa.gov]; Blunck, Christopher [Blunck.Chris@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Follow-up with OP on the LCPFAC/PFAS SNUR and Lead Program ICR Discontinuations

Ok. thanks Peter. Hopefully we can find some real burden reduction somewhere in there that is substantive. I received this morning a hard copy of the Agenda and reg plan for mark up—I think at this point they want everything by hand.  
In theory because perhaps the OP meeting will actually happen next week..

---

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT  
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP  
P: 202-564-1273

Personal Address / Ex. 6

[beck.nancy@epa.gov](mailto:beck.nancy@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Smith, Peterj  
**Sent:** Friday, September 22, 2017 11:07 AM  
**To:** Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Wise, Louise <Wise.Louise@epa.gov>; Morris, Jeff <Morris.Jeff@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Hofmann, Angela <Hofmann.Angela@epa.gov>; Blunck, Christopher <Blunck.Chris@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Follow-up with OP on the LCPFAC/PFAS SNUR and Lead Program ICR Discontinuations  
**Importance:** High

I just spoke with Nicole Owens about changing the Reg Agenda status of the SNUR from long-term to proposed rule. OP has asked that we **NOT** update the reg Agenda entry via ADP Tracker, and instead that I send them the updated information via email. A few things:

1. I need OPPT to get me information on the anticipated NPRM publication date ASAP.
2. If we think we might be able to **finalize** the SNUR by the end of next September, we'll need that target date as well.
3. Right now, Nicole is inclined to include this on the FY18 Regulatory Budget submission to flag the 2-for-1 potential if OMB determines that our intention to lift the articles exemption is reason enough to call the rule in for review.
4. We also need OPPT to add the OMB Determination information in Tracker, and I would be pleased to assist staff with this today to help make it go as quickly as possible.

With respect to the lead ICRs we're asking OMB to discontinue, Nicole does not believe OMB will consider any banking of reduced burden that is achieved by eliminating an administrative double-count or other similar non-substantive change.

---

**Peter J. Smith** | US EPA | Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention | Regulatory Coordination Staff  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW | Washington, DC 20460 | phone 202/564-0262 | email [smith.peterj@epa.gov](mailto:smith.peterj@epa.gov)

\*\*\*\*\*  
**NOTICE:** If this electronic communication explains, justifies, or documents an official action or decision, it may be subject to federal records requirements. Federal employees should evaluate the contents of this message before deleting it.  
\*\*\*\*\*

ED\_002682\_00206235-00001

